# REEXAMINATION PRACTICE BEFORE THE US PTO

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES, LLC Presented at IP Conferences January, 2012 **Reexamination Requests** 

- Accused Infringer:
  - Not Infringing
  - Patent Invalid, Requests Reexamination
  - Patent Owner Wishes to Strengthen Patent at PTO

# **Reexamination Types**

- Ex Parte
- Inter Partes (pre 9/16/11)
- Inter Partes (post 9/16/11, but pre 9/16/12)
- Inter Partes (post 9/16/12)

- Who Can File?
  - Patent Owner
  - Any Third Party

Requester Must be Identified in Inter Partes only

# Reexamination (ex Parte)

- Requirements
  - Substantial New Question of Patentability (new reference)
  - Previously Considered Reference being viewed in a new Light
  - Non-Cumulative

## Reexamination (inter Partes)

- Requirements 9/16/11-9/16/12
  - Reasonable likelihood that the requester will prevail with respect to at least one of the claims
  - Examined by Patent Trial and Appeals Board Judges

# Reexamination (inter Partes)

- After 9/16/12
  - Not Permitted
  - Replaced by Petition to Conduct Inter Partes Review

# **Other Challenges by Opposers**

- After 9/16/12
  - Post-Grant Review Permitted (New)
  - Supplemental Examination (New)
  - Transitional post-grant review proceeding for review of the validity of covered business-method patents

# Reexamination

- Within 3 Months, Reexam Must Be
  - Ordered
  - Refused
  - Opportunity to Correct
     Provided (New Filing date)

- If Reexam Ordered
  - 2 Months for Patent Owner Statement if by 3<sup>rd</sup> Party (Not Recommended Generally)
  - PTO Action within about 9-12 Months from Filing

## **Reexamination Strategies**

- Interviews (ex-parte; not available for inter-partes)
- Cannot broaden
- Prior Art: Patent, Printed Publication

# Reexam Strategies (cont'd)

- NO RCE
- New claims must be underlined
- Response: Not extendable unless petition is granted
- Inter partes: 50 page limit

## Reexam Strategies (cont'd)

- Patent Prosecution Goals vs. Litigation Goals
- Today's Goals Insight into the litigation perspective
- Past Damages for Infringement

# Reexam Strategies (cont'd)

- Amend Claims?
- Practical advice claim Drafting

   Arguments Laitram Corp. v.
   NEC Corp., 163 F.3d 1342. (Fed.
   Cir. Dec. 18, 1998).

- After Amendment/Response PTO usually Issues Final Rejection or NIRC
- If Final Rejection, Interview Again, New Claims, Arguments
- If NIRC, file Comments

- After Final Amendment or Response, PTO Issues Advisory Action or NIRC
- Advisory Gives About 1 month for Filing Appeal

- Patent Owner may appeal in Ex Parte
- Both Sides May Appeal in Inter Partes
- In Inter Partes, Requester Participates Throughout

### Reexamination Filing Data -September 30, 2011

### **Ex Parte**

 1. Total requests filed since start of ex parte reexam on 07/01/81 ......
 11,782

### **Inter Partes**

 1. Total requests filed since start of inter partes reexam on 11/29/99 ......
 1,389

# Filings

#### **Ex Parte**

### **Inter Partes**

- a. By patent owner 3,801.....33%
- b. By other member of public 7,815.....66%
- c. By order of Commissioner 166...... 1%

- a. By patent owner N/A
- b. By other member of public 1,389.....100%
- c. By order of Commissioner N/A

### 2. Number of filings by discipline

### **Ex Parte**

- a. Chemical Operation 3,211 ..... 27%
- b. Electrical Operation 4,405 ..... 37%
- c. Mechanical Operation 3,987 ..... 34%
- d. Designs 179 ..... 2%

### **Inter Partes**

- a. Chemical Operation 260 ...... 18%
- b. Electrical Operation 722 ..... 52%
- c. Mechanical Operation 401 ..... 29%
- d. Designs 16 ..... 1%

### 3. Determinations on Requests

### **Ex Parte**

Total ..... 11,262

a. No. granted 10,333 ..... 92%

(1) By examiner ..... 10,213

(2) By Director (on petition) .....120

### **Inter Partes**

Total ..... 1,246

a. No. granted 1,187 ..... 95%

(1) By examiner ..... .....1,178

(2) By Director (on petition) ......9

### 3. Determinations on Requests

Ex Parte	Inter Partes
b. Number denied 929 8%	b. Number denied 59 5%
<ul> <li>(1) By examiner894</li> <li>(47% PO; 53%TP)</li> <li>(2) Order vacated35</li> </ul>	<ul><li>(1) By examiner 54</li><li>(2) Order vacated 5</li></ul>

4. Overall reexamination pendency (Filing date to certificate issue date)

#### **Ex Parte** Inter Partes

- a. Average pendency a. Average pendency 25.6 months 36.2 months
- b. Median pendency b. Median pendency 19.9 months 32.9 months

### 5. Total Reexamination Certificates Issued

### **Ex Parte**

a. Certificates with all claims confirmed 1,943 ..... 23%

#### **Inter Partes**

(1999 - present) ..... 305

a. Certificates with all claims confirmed 35 ..... 11%

### 5. Total Reexamination Certificates Issued

#### **Ex Parte**

### **Inter Partes**

# Thank You

Abe Hershkovitz

You can reach me for questions at:

- patent@hershkovitz.net
- 703-370-4800 (phone)
- **703-370-4809 (fax)**
- www.hershkovitz.net

#### U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# The End

Hershkovitz & Associates, LLC

www.hershkovitz.net

Copyright © 2012 Hershkovitz & Associates, LLC. All Rights Reserved.